

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

ODP # 8-835

21 April 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Harry S. White, Jr.  
Associate Director for ADP Standards  
National Bureau of Standards  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
(Wash, D.C. 20234)

STATINTL FROM: [REDACTED]  
Deputy Director for Applications  
Office of Data Processing

SUBJECT : Coordination of Proposed Guidelines for  
Documentation of Computer Programs and  
Automated Data Systems for the Initiation  
Phase

REFERENCE : Your Memo, Same Subject, Dated 10 March '78

1. We have reviewed your paper on the guidelines for the documentation of computer programs during the initiation phase and it is surprising how close your standards come to our actual practices. Our users request work with a form that is very similar to your Project Request Document. We also conduct feasibility studies on all systems where it is not clear what direction the computer support should take.

2. The one comment I would make about the feasibility study is that in Section 3, which is System Requirements and Objectives, you specify more detail than we generally put in a feasibility study. I am not convinced that, for example, the detailed description of each file and its edit criteria helps the user choose among the various alternatives presented in the study. We have also found that the choice of alternatives in a feasibility study can greatly affect how the user inputs data, the format of the files, and the type of output that he gets. For these reasons we postponed this type of detail until the next formal document that goes to the user. This would occur in the definition phase of the development stage. For

example, the user might be presented with an on-line option and a batch processing option. The output specifications for hard copy reports can not be specified until the choice is made between the two options.



STATINTL